

Language to logic mapper (LLM) to logic model checker (LMC)

Colin James III
info@cec-services.com

ESMC
Colorado Springs

What is automated reasoning.

- This goal is to obtain logical proof of sentences.
- The motivation avoids costly mistakes sooner for efforts based on requirement documents.
- The approach is two-fold:
 - 1. Input from the language to logic mapper (LLM)
 - 2. Output from the logic model checker (LMC)

What is logic model checker (LMC).

- Jan Łucasiewicz invented logic Ł4 but did *not*:
 - Map modal logic for necessity and possibility; or
 - Find acceptance academically.
- Garry Goodwin (UK) and I (USA) fixed Ł4:
 - Variant Ł4 (V Ł4) uses 5 logical models; and
 - A 4-valued logic system of {F,N,C,T} and {U,I,P,E}.
- The Meth8 logic model checker implements it.
 - LMC is a *recent advance* in mathematical logic.

Variant Ł4 (VŁ4)

- Uses two sets of 4-valued logic for 5 models
 - Proves all models in agreement as **T**ru**E**, **E**valuated
- Proof result output is a truth table such as:

The necessity of p necessarily implying p implies the necessity of p .

CTCT	UEUE	EEEE	PEPE	IEIE
Model 1	Model 2.1	Model 2.2	Model 2.3.1	Model 2.3.2

- $\Box(\Box p \rightarrow p) \rightarrow \Box p$ Gödel-Löb axiom (GL) is suspect as only one of five models is true, so no cigar.
 - If GL fails, then so also does Zermelo-Fraenkel set theory, with or without the axiom of choice.

What is language to logic mapper.

- What is natural language?
 - Simple logic dictates a simplistic approach:
 - whatever fits in sentences is parts of speech (POS).
- POS are abstract groups of:
 - Noun, Verb, Modifier (NVM).
 - The modifier is an adjective and adverb.
- POS are not grammatically significant here.
 - A subject, object, or direct object is still a “Noun”.

How to find POS by look up table

- Public domain POS list for 180K English words
 - Sub-types of POS are further grouped for use here.
 - Nouns: singular, plural, pronoun, noun clause
 - Verbs: (in)transitive, participle, gerund, conjunction
 - Modifier adjective: preposition, (in)definite article
 - Ignored: nominative, interjection
- Sequential access of the (un)sorted word list:
 - Searches on average $\frac{1}{2}$ of the list; and
 - Avoids overhead of sorting before a binary search.

Some POS are ambiguous.

- A word may be several POS.
 - These words are noun, verb, adjective, and adverb:
 - free, firm, like, prompt, smooth
- The correct POS is from the context (intent).
 - Intent derives from a pattern search for the usage.
 - Example 1: *Tango* is leaders-followers.
 - Pattern is *Tango* – verb - nouns, hence **Nvn**.
 - Example 2: Leaders-followers *tango*.
 - Pattern is nouns - *tango*, hence **nV**.

How to map POS to logical symbols.

- Nouns are literals for
 - Propositions as lower case {p,q,r,s, ...}
 - Theorems as upper case {A,B,C,D, ...}
- Verbs are connectives assigned {&+-<=>@\} for
 - {And, Or, Nor, Imply, Xor, Nand}
- Modifiers are operators assigned {~#%} for
 - Adjectives as {not, necessary, possible}
 - Adverbs as {never, necessarily, possibly}

How to prove a sentence.

- A sentence is a proof table of logical values, eg:
 - “A floor of a factory contains robots and computers.”
 - “A floor [and necessarily] of a factory [is] robots ...”
 - $(p \ \& \ \#q) = (r \ \& \ s)$.

Model 1	Model 2.1	Model 2.2	Model 2.3.1	Model 2.3.2
TTTC TTCC	EEEU EEEU	EEEE EEEE	EEEP EEEP	EEEI EEEI
TTTC FFFN	EEEU UUUE	EEEE UUUU	EEEP UIII	EEEI UUUP

- **Proof is TTTT in Model 1 and EEEE in others:**
 - The example sentence is not proved as true.

How to fix a sentence to prove it.

- The Technique of Unfolding
 - Makes the sentence itself more descriptive
 - Divides a sentence into simpler descriptive parts
- The Technique of Contraction
 - Abstracts a sentence into general, generic content
 - Builds sentences with high informational content
- Seek assistance from:
 - Business proposal, grant, and technical writing

How to map sentences together.

- S1 is nouns A, B, verb “is” =, so: $S1=(A=B)$.
- S2 is nouns A, B, verb “and” &, so: $S2=(A\&B)$.
 - P1 is S1 then S2, so: $P1=S1>S2=(A=B)>(A\&B)$. The implication connective “>” is arbitrarily inserted between S1 and S2 based solely on the reason that S2 follows S1: one follows another.
 - In other words, “If S1, then S2.”
 - But: if S1 is False, then S2 as True cannot follow.
- Subsequent P_n form a requirements document.

Summary

- Automated reasoning is achieved by mapping language to logic and by checking logic models.
 - Words in sentences are looked up for the POS.
 - POS map to symbols for logical expressions.
- Sentences are proved by five logical models.
- Serial sentences imply proof of paragraphs.
- Serial paragraphs validate requirements docs.

Colin James III

info@cec-services.com