

Parsing anomalies

© Copyright 2017-2019 by Colin James III All rights reserved.

If an expression is well formed, sometimes it parses with an exception raised. This results from pairs of operators preceding variables only and not expressions. For example $\%(\#(\%(p=p)))=(p=p)$, rewrite the " $\#(\%$ " as $((r=\%(p=p))>\%#r)=(p=p)$.

If this happens, we suggest a work around by embedding the expression as an antecedent (or consequent) with a connective to tautology such as " $p=p$ ". T tautology is the designated proof value, F contradiction, N value for truth, and C value for falsity.

The resulting truth table of 16-values is presented horizontally as row-major. We found these examples with work arounds.

1. $\#(\sim(\% \sim p > \sim \% r)) > \#(\sim(\# r > \% p))$; ngp (not good parse)
 $\#(\sim(\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > \sim(\# r > \% p))=(p=p)$; then extract the truth table for the antecedent as: NNNN NNNN NNNN NNNN
2. $\# \sim(\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > \# \sim(\# r > \% p)$; ngp [modal operator with negation preceding parenthetical literal]
 $\#(\sim(\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > \sim(\# r > \% p))=(p=p)$; then extract the truth table for the antecedent as: NNNN NNNN NNNN NNNN
3. $(\sim(\#(\% \sim p > \sim \% r))) > (\sim(\#(\# r > \% p)))$; ngp
 $(\sim(\#(\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > \#(\# r > \% p)))=(p=p)$; then extract the truth table for the antecedent as: FFFF FFFF FFFF FFFF
4. $\sim(\#(\% \sim p > \sim \% r)) > \sim(\#(\# r > \% p))$; ngp
 $\sim(\#(\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > \#(\# r > \% p))=(p=p)$; then extract the truth table for the antecedent as: FFFF FFFF FFFF FFFF
5. $\sim \#(\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > \sim \#(\# r > \% p)$; ngp
 $\sim(\#(\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > \#(\# r > \% p))=(p=p)$; then extract the truth table for the antecedent as: FFFF FFFF FFFF FFFF
6. $\#(\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > \#(\# r > \% p)$; validated as tautologous: TTTT TTTT TTTT TTTT
 $\#((\% \sim p > \sim \% r) > (\# r > \% p))=(p=p)$; however, *not* distributing the quantifier produces: NNNN NNNN NNNN NNNN