

**Confirmation of a business plan to sell 55% of an LLC corporation**

We assume the method and apparatus of Meth8/VL4 with Tautology as the designated proof value, F as contradiction, N as truthity (non-contingency), and C as falsity (contingency). The 16-valued truth table is row-major and horizontal, or repeating fragments of 128-tables, sometimes with table counts, for more variables. (See ersatz-systems.com.)

LET ~ Not, ¬; + Or, ∨, ∪, ⊔; - Not Or; & And, ∧, ∩, ⊓, ∘, ⊗; \ Not And;  
 > Imply, greater than, →, ⇒, ⇨, >, ⊃, ≻; < Not Imply, less than, ∈, <, ⊂, ⊆, ≪, ≲;  
 = Equivalent, ≡, :=, ⇔, ↔, ≐, ≈, ≅; @ Not Equivalent, ≠, ⊕;  
 % possibility, for one or some, ∃, ∃!, ∃, M; # necessity, for every or all, ∀, □, L;  
 (z=z) T as tautology, ⊤, ordinal 3; (z@z) F as contradiction, ∅, Null, ⊥, zero;  
 (%z>#z) N as non-contingency, Δ, ordinal 1; (%z<#z) C as contingency, ∇, ordinal 2;  
 ~(y < x) (x ≤ y), (x ⊆ y), (x ⊑ y); (A=B) (A~B).  
 Notes: for clarity, we usually distribute quantifiers onto each designated variable; and for ordinal arithmetic, the result is implied.

We evaluate a conjecture for marketing a business as follows.

The director and original owner of an LLC business, of 100 shares valued at \$100, sells 55% of shares to a principal co-owner for x amount. This means the director owns 45% of the shares, and the principal owns 55% of the shares with the controlling right to sell the business. An external buyer is obtained by broker, principal, or director. Unless stipulated otherwise, the re-selling buyer pays off the co-owners for y amount as respectively prorated at 55% to the principal and 45% to the director.

After external acquisition, the original co-owners have respectively 0.55y - x and 0.45y + x. For example without the expense of a broker, if x = \$55 and y = \$110,000, then after business sale the principal co-owner has 0.55\*\$110,000 - \$55 = \$60,445, and the director co-owner has 0.45\*\$110,000 + \$55 = \$49,555. The return on investment for the principal co-owner is \$60,445 / \$55 or 109,900%.

LET p, q, r, s: principal, director, broker, buyer.

Both the director is less than the principal and the principal, and with no broker, implies the buyer. (1.1.1)

$((q < p) \& p) \& \sim r > s ; \quad \text{TTTT TTTT TTTT TTTT} \quad (1.1.2)$

**Remark 1.1.2:** Eq. 1.1.2 is tautologous, to confirm the conjecture and business plan.

For an agent/broker to be included,

Both the director is less than the principal and the principal, and with broker, implies the buyer. (1.2.1)

$((q < p) \& p) \& r > s ; \quad \text{TTTT TTTT TTTT TTTT} \quad (1.2.2)$

**Remark 1.2.2:** Eq. 1.2.2 is tautologous, to confirm the conjecture and business plan, and making the broker transparent. Similarly by stipulating the director and/or the principal staying on with the buyer as consequent is also transparent to the tautology.